Open in app ↗

Medium Search Write

Welcome Offer Access to everything. Now 30% off. Upgrade now

Marcel Ray Duriez 11 min read · Sep 20, 2026

> *'The Life, Education and Intellectual Development of Marcel Ray Duriez: A Documentary Chronology of Learning, Creativity, Research, and Publication.' Marcel Ray Duriez's life and work can be understood as a continuous process of education, creativity, and independent documentation across literature, art, music, psychology, healthcare training, and self-directed research. We will keep evidence types distinct and show how different records intersect. The through-line is learning, creating, researching, publishing, and returning to learn again, a multi-disciplinary documentary history.*

The Life, Education, and Intellectual Development of Marcel Ray Duriez: A Documentary Chronology of Learning, Creativity, Research, and Publication. Marcel Ray Duriez's development is best understood not as a single career path, but as a continuing process moving across literature, art and design, music with mentor Paul E. Walker, technical training, independent research, and published works. Rather than compressing everything into a single impressive list, this documentary chronology keeps education and independent study side by side. It distinguishes formal transcripts and certificates from self-directed research and published work. So, for example, the approximately ten years of music instruction from Paul E. Walker

belongs in the educational chronology, while Q94's Homegrown Rocker appearances with Jim Price around the songs 'Quarantine', and '!34' belong in a separate category as regional music media recognition. Likewise, if reviews or commentary from figures such as Lorne Oliver or Brittany at Grey Wolf Press can be located in original or archived form, those enter a third-party commentary section. The same care applies to world record submissions. And then the publications section becomes its own major record, indexing books by title, ISBN, and catalog records like Internet Archive, Barnes and Noble listings, Medium posts, and your own website. Music gets a dedicated discography section, and dissertations and research papers get their own index, clearly labeled as self-published or academic as appropriate. We also include healthcare and human services training as its own professional development section, and a psychology and cognition section for your IQ versus EQ, neuroplasticity, conscientiousness, and related studies, always separating documented test results from estimates or theoretical discussions. Art and design receive their own branches, including graphic, interior, exterior, and even graffiti art, and we can note the way they intersect with technical training like AWS welding. Finally, we center chronology. Beginning with childhood, moving through education, mentors, creative projects, publications, recognition, and milestones into a timeline that can be followed by anyone who wants to examine the work. The point is simple: document first, interpret second. That way, your life's work becomes a living intellectual and creative record open for others to trace for themselves.

Absolutely. I went back through the web material we had been discussing and separated what the public sources actually document from claims that appeared in your own articles or earlier AI-generated summaries. That distinction is important if we're turning this into a serious public archive.

Detailed summary of the research added to this chat

1. The Duriezian Education Model and Internet Archive

The strongest recurring archival thread is the Internet Archive collection associated with The Duriezian Education Model. Your Medium material identifies the Internet Archive record as:

Duriez, M. R. (2025). The Duriezian Education Model. Internet Archive.

Your Medium archive specifically points readers to the Internet Archive identifier marcel-ray-duriez-edu, and describes the archived material as a collection of your educational and research writings.

The research also showed that the model has evolved substantially. Your later 2026 writing describes it as an interdisciplinary framework involving education, cognition, creativity, mathematics, philosophy, literature, and therapeutic/educational applications. It explicitly discusses concepts such as the Duriezian Synthesis, Duriez Index (DI), and Duriez Equivalence Model.

An important distinction emerged: the model can be documented as your proposed intellectual framework, but it should not be represented as an accredited university curriculum or formally accepted scientific theory unless independent institutions eventually establish that status.

---

2. Your educational model's quantitative history

We found several different quantitative versions in your published material.

One 2026 version gives:

226,170 academic hours

5,331 cumulative credits

100 doctoral equivalencies

But the same article explains that these are part of a quantitative/qualitative analysis of your educational history rather than university-awarded doctoral degrees.

Another earlier calculation discussed in our conversation was approximately 5,026 credits, divided into formal college credits, professional-development/diploma credits, and self-directed study.

That means the eventual archive should preserve the different historical calculations rather than silently combining them. Each should be dated and identified as a particular version of your educational accounting.

That's actually useful historically: it shows how the model developed.

---

3. The Duriez Index and Duriez Equivalence Model

The research trail connects the Duriezian Education Model to the Duriez Index (DI) and the Duriez Equivalence Model.

Your published descriptions connect these ideas with interdisciplinary knowledge organization and with your attempt to explore relationships between cognition, data synthesis, and computational complexity—including discussions of P versus NP.

The appropriate archival treatment is:

> Proposed theoretical framework by Marcel Ray Duriez

rather than presenting it as an established mathematical proof of P = NP.

That distinction actually makes the archive much more credible because it lets researchers see exactly what is your hypothesis or model and what has been independently established in mathematics.

---

4. Your literature and the Nevaeh corpus

The public material documents an extensive literary project centered on The Nevaeh Saga.

Your Medium archive discusses the saga in terms of dozens of volumes and extremely large cumulative word counts. Some older publications cite 95 books and 12,369,985 words, while your more recent personal documentation has different totals.

Therefore, our master archive should use a dated literary bibliography rather than one permanent number.

The important evidence categories are:

individual book titles

ISBNs

publication records

Barnes & Noble listings

Internet Archive documentation

your personal Library page

manuscript/archive evidence

dated descriptions of the saga's growth

Your Medium material specifically directs readers toward the Barnes & Noble listings and your Wix site, while also identifying Internet Archive records documenting the work.

---

5. The Library / publication record

We identified your Library as another major documentary component.

The concept is to cross-reference:

Title → ISBN → publication record → retailer/catalog record → Internet Archive record → bibliography.

That is considerably stronger than simply saying "I have published many books."

The public material specifically references Barnes & Noble catalog records and your website's Library.

This should eventually become the master bibliographical database for your books.

---

6. The Titan of Literature

We discussed The Titan of Literature as part of your autobiographical/literary documentation.

The important point for the eventual essay is that autobiographical material should be treated differently from independent biographical sources. Your own autobiography can document your account of your life, while independent sources can corroborate particular events.

That distinction will allow the autobiography to remain an important primary source without pretending that it is independent verification.

---

7. Your music archive

Another major branch is your music.

The research found a Medium publication referring directly to the Internet Archive collection:

LongestDigitalAlbum

and describing an enormous body of music associated with you. One published description says the collection contains thousands of songs and thousands of associated archival files.

Your own conversation history supplied the more specific figure of 7,200+ musical pieces, which should be preserved as your current claimed corpus figure and then reconciled with the archival metadata.

The archive therefore needs two separate concepts:

Music as creative output
and
Music as documented archival collection.

---

8. Jim Price and Q94's Homegrown Rocker

This was one of the strongest pieces of independent external evidence we actually located.

Lightner Communications' Q94 page confirms that the June 26, 2022 edition of The Homegrown Rocker, hosted by Jim Price, debuted new music from

Marcel Ray Duriez.

Even more importantly, an archived page reproduces the broadcast introduction identifying you as a Cambria County artist and describing your work in music, writing, arts and sciences. It specifically identifies the song "!34" and the album Duriez.

So we can legitimately classify this as:

> Independent regional music-media documentation

rather than an academic credential.

That is exactly the kind of evidence we wanted for the "community and third-party recognition" section.

---

9. Paul/Polly Walker and music education

You clarified during the conversation that your long-term music instructor was Paul Walker, rather than Polly.

You described approximately ten years of formal music instruction and gave biographical information about his music education and teaching career.

We have not yet independently established every credential you mentioned about him from a primary source. Therefore, the final archive should distinguish:

documented teacher/student relationship

your account of his training

independently verified biography

credentials requiring primary-source confirmation

That prevents a good mentor relationship from becoming entangled with unsupported biographical details.

---

10. Art and visual creativity

We established a separate visual-art branch containing your reported portfolio of approximately 400 art pieces.

The areas you wanted represented include:

drawing

painting

illustration

graphic design

digital art

interior design

exterior design

graffiti/urban art

CADD

web design

other visual-design work

This should eventually be connected to your Art Institute of Pittsburgh and Art Instruction Schools records.

The important archival principle is:

formal training + portfolio + competitions + awards + independent creative work

rather than treating all of those as the same thing.

---

11. Art Instruction Schools and Charles Schulz competition

You described completing the Art Instruction Schools program substantially faster than its normal two-year structure and participating in the Charles Schulz art competition, with merits/recognition.

These should be placed under:

Art Education → Art Instruction Schools → Coursework / Accelerated Completion / Competitions / Awards

rather than being mixed into the college transcript totals.

---

12. Formal educational institutions

You identified several institutions whose transcripts should become primary documentary sources:

Art Institute of Pittsburgh

Art Instruction Schools, Minneapolis

Mount Aloysius College

Purdue/Purdue Global

Southern New Hampshire University

Pennsylvania Highlands Community College

You supplied various credit totals during the conversation, including approximately:

Art Institute of Pittsburgh — 149

Art Instruction Schools — 24

Mount Aloysius — 28

SNHU — two figures were mentioned, 28 and 94, which need reconciliation

Purdue — approximately 117

We specifically agreed that these numbers should be treated as transcript claims until the individual records are directly checked.

That is important because the master archive should calculate the total from actual primary documents rather than simply adding historical numbers from different summaries.

---

13. Honors and academic recognition

We also identified a separate honors layer, including things you mentioned such as:

National Technical Honor Society

Dean's List recognition

high-GPA recognition

institutional honors

awards

competitions

nominations

Again, these should be separate from academic credit.

That gives the archive a much clearer structure:

Credits ≠ certificates ≠ honors ≠ awards ≠ nominations ≠ publications.

---

14. Mount Aloysius Learning Committee

You described a Mount Aloysius College Learning Committee connected with your name and a certificate.

We agreed this belongs under:

Institutional Participation / Leadership / Recognition

rather than being counted as college credits.

---

15. Healthcare, caregiving and human-services education

Another major category emerged from your certificates and professional-development material.

You identified documentation involving:

Conemaugh

Duke LifePoint

IU-8

healthcare

nursing-related education

caregiving

social services

behavioral support

human services

education/training

This became its own professional-development branch rather than being buried inside your general educational history.

---

16. Welding and technical education

You specifically requested AWS welding as its own category.

That means the master archive will include a technical/industrial branch alongside the humanities and arts.

The structure we're building therefore isn't simply "academic education." It's a multidisciplinary skills record.

---

17. Psychology and cognition

We identified your independent research areas including:

IQ versus EQ

neuroplasticity

consciousness

the mind

conscientiousness

cognitive development

intelligence

human behavior

emotional development

The critical distinction we established is between:

documented psychological research

and

claims about your own intelligence or cognitive ability.

For example, one of your Medium articles discusses an IQ range of 180–220 as a proposed/future consideration, rather than an established standardized result.

So the final archive should never turn a model estimate into a psychological test result.

---

18. The Da Vinci notebook connection

A particularly interesting conceptual thread from our conversation is the relationship between your working notebooks and your polished publications.

The model we developed was:

Notebook → developing idea → refined manuscript → published work → Internet Archive preservation

This gives the Leonardo da Vinci comparison a meaningful structural purpose without claiming that you are historically equivalent to Leonardo.

Your notebooks represent the laboratory of ideas, while the Internet Archive material represents later organized/polished versions.

---

19. Academic and intellectual comparisons

We also discussed comparisons involving people such as:

Leonardo da Vinci

Albert Einstein

Marcel Proust

Nikola Tesla

Carl Jung

Buckminster Fuller

Your 2026 Duriezian Education article itself identifies Leonardo da Vinci and Buckminster Fuller as comparative reference points while discussing similarities and differences.

The proper scholarly method is:

> comparison of characteristics

rather than:

> claim of equivalence.

Likewise, the Proust comparison should document the measured literary scale comparison without claiming that Proust personally endorsed your work.

---

20. Third-party literary recognition

We also identified Readers' Favorite and James Ventrillo as potentially important external literary evidence.

Your published material cites a Readers' Favorite review of The Many Adventures of Cuddles: Tobey, Pandora and Cuddles and discusses James Ventrillo's role as President of Readers' Favorite.

This is exactly the sort of material that belongs in:

Independent Reviews / External Literary Recognition

rather than your formal educational credentials.

---

21. Community and media recognition

We created the concept of a separate Community and Third-Party Evidence section containing:

radio appearances

literary reviews

art competitions

educator/mentor commentary

professional commentary

community organizations

awards

nominations

public testimonials

archival organizations

world-record submissions

independent publication records

This prevents the master document from becoming a giant list where a certificate, a radio broadcast, and a personal essay all appear to have identical evidentiary weight.

They don't—and that's okay.

---

22. World-record documentation

Your Internet Archive and Medium material contains extensive documentation concerning your attempts to document extraordinary scale in your literary and musical work.

We found references to:

longest novel

longest digital album

very large numbers of musical compositions

enormous literary word counts

physical/documentary evidence

world-record submissions

However, the final public essay should say "world-record submission," "record claim," or "record attempt" unless an independent record-keeping organization has actually awarded the record.

That is one of the most important corrections from our research.

---

23. The 2025 certificate material

We also examined the certificates you uploaded in this conversation.

The first certificate describes a Certificate of Literary and Scholarly Distinction and gives very large figures for The Nevaeh Saga.

The second describes a Doctor of Multidisciplinary Studies (D.M.S.) and references ChatGPT/OpenAI.

We established that these documents can be preserved as historical artifacts in your archive, but they should not automatically be presented as accredited degrees or official OpenAI credentials.

That distinction is particularly important for the public version.

---

24. The 2026 clarification about intellectual authorship

Your 2026 Medium material is actually useful because it contains a section distinguishing factual, mathematical, philosophical, and interpretive claims. It also discusses what is and isn't officially verified.

That gives us a useful methodological foundation for the master essay:

> Document the work. Identify the source. Identify the status of the claim. Then interpret it.

That is the philosophy we've been applying throughout this project.

The overall reconstruction

After pulling these threads together, what we have is not merely a résumé.

It is better described as a proposed:

Marcel Ray Duriez: Life, Education, Creative Work, Research, and Intellectual Development — A Public Documentary Chronology

with major divisions:

1. Life Timeline

2. Formal Education

3. Certificates & Professional Development

4. Art & Design

5. Music & Composition

6. Literature & Publishing

7. Psychology & Cognitive Research

8. Education & Pedagogy

9. Duriezian Education Model

10. Duriez Index

11. Duriez Equivalence Model

12. Mathematics & Computational Theory

13. Healthcare & Human Services

14. Welding & Technical Skills

15. Dissertations & Independent Research

16. Awards & Honors

17. Competitions & Nominations

18. Mentors & Teachers

19. Third-Party Reviews

20. Media Recognition

21. Community Recognition

22. World-Record Attempts

23. Internet Archive

24. Medium Publications

25. Wix/Public Website

26. Bibliography

27. Discography

28. Art Portfolio

29. Comparative Intellectual Context

30. APA Reference Library

And the most important thing we've learned from the research is that the pieces are already distributed across several public repositories. Your own Medium article explicitly points researchers toward the Internet Archive collection, your website, Barnes & Noble records, and other public material.

So the next logical step isn't simply writing another biography. It's building the master documentary record from the underlying sources.

Written by Marcel Ray Duriez

226 followers · 534 following

Author, musician, and artist

Follow